Pupil Premium Strategy





#TransformingLives

Summary information										
Academy	Ravens Academy									
SLT Lead	Charley Minter	Charley Minter								
Link Governor	To be decided	To be decided								
Date of most recent PP Review:	July 2019	July 2019								
Date of next PP Review:	July 2020									
Academic Year	2019-2020	Total PP budget	£ PP-£334 000 £ EYFS – £46000 £LAC- £ 1300 £ Forces-£0	Total number of pupils on roll: Total PP:	390 251					
				Ever 6 PP:	45					
				EYFS PP:	28					
				LAC PP:	1					
				Refugee:	0					
				Forces:	0					

Contextual/Additional information:

We have approximately 62% PP children. Our strategy is to provide appropriate support and resources for all our children, but where there may be a particular impact for PP children part of the cost is apportioned (app) to the PP grant received. Our children come into the academy with generally below ARE communication and literacy skills so we target support in these areas. We also have persistent problems with low attendance while some children have poor behaviour when they first join. Again these are focus areas for our PP spend.

Historic Performance Indicators								
	National all 2017	National all 2018	Academy 2017	Academy 2018	National PP 2017	National PP 2018	Academy PP 2017	Academy PP 2018
% achieving at or above ARE combined by the end of Y6:	62%	65%	55%	54%	48%	51%	52%	53%
% achieving at or above ARE in reading by the end of Y6:	72%	75%	62%	68%	60%	64%	59%	65%
% achieving at or above ARE in writing by the end of Y6:	77%	78%	79%	77%	66%	67%	78%	70%
% achieving at or above ARE in maths by the end of Y6:	75%	75%	83%	70%	64%	64%	81%	70%

% achieving at or above ARE in SPAG by the end of year 6	78%	78%	76%	75%	67%	67%	74%	73%
% achieving at or above ARE in reading by the end of Y2:	76%	75%	63%	56%	63%	63%	66%	46%
% achieving at or above ARE in writing by the end of Y2:	68%	70%	27%	49%	55%	56%	24%	39%
% achieving at or above ARE in maths by the end of Y2:	75%	76%	60%	60%	63%	63%	63%	51%
% passing the phonics screening in Y1	81%	82%	53%	63%	70%	72%	36%	64%
% achieving GLD in Rec	69%	70%	51%	50%	54%	55%	50%	44%
Attendance	95.3%	95.2%	93.7%	92.9%	92.7%	92.4%	92.3%	91.4%

Current Performance Indicators							
	National all 2019	Academy 2019	National PP 2019	Academy PP 2019	Variation		
% achieving at or above ARE combined by the end of Y6:	65%	34%	51%	29%			
% achieving at or above ARE in reading by the end of Y6:	73%	44%	64%	43%			
% achieving at or above ARE in writing by the end of Y6:	78%	52%	67%	52%			
% achieving at or above ARE in maths by the end of Y6:	79%	67%	64%	76%			
% achieving at or above ARE in SPAG by the end of year 6	78%	64%	62%	48%	-14		
% achieving at or above ARE in reading by the end of Y2:	75%	55%	62%	47%	-15		
% achieving at or above ARE in writing by the end of Y2:	70%	30%	55%	25%	-30		
% achieving at or above ARE in maths by the end of Y2:	76%	41%	63%	42%	-21		
% passing the phonics screening in Y1	82%	41%	72%	46%	-28		
% achieving GLD in Rec	72%	63%	57%	48%	-9		
Attendance:		93%		92%			

2018-2019 Review

Number of pupils and pupil premium grant (PPG) received				
Total number of pupils on roll (not including nursery)	383			
Total number of pupils eligible	214			
Amount PPG received per pupil	£1400			
Total amount of PPG received	£332,240			
Total amount of PPG spent	£332,240			

Review of expenditure	Review of expenditure						
Academic Year		2018-19					
i. Quality of teaching fo	r all						
Desired outcome	Chosen action/ approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)				
Children to become more aware of the wold outside their immediate area	New Cornerstones curriculum focuses on giving children the 'WOW' factor.	Children have been given lots of 'WOW' factors with some excellent trips, journeys and in house visitors. Children are able to relate these experiences to their wider curricular learning.	As an Academy, we are going to focus primarily on the national curriculum objectives whilst using cornerstones for its resources and experience ideas.	£150,000			
Improved outcomes by supporting PP children to be successful and lifelong learners.	Additional support employed. Intervention/supp ort staff situated within every year group.	All classes have at least one member of support staff in their classrooms. This adult is used for either one-to-one support, group work or targeted intervention work.	This approach will continue next year. Extra adults will be hired through the apprenticeship scheme in 19-20 and they will also be used during the mornings to guide and progress children's learning.				
ii. Targeted Suppor	t						
Run homework and interventions for PP students.	To ensure no PP student is left behind. To provide children with the equipment needed to do	Children attended an after school intervention club run by a member of staff to help support and guide their learning.	This club will be continued into the next academic year.	£25,000			

	their homework as this is rarely available at home.			
iii. Other approaches				
To support PP parents with various problems which hinder children's learning.	Develop family support team.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	This approach will be continued with the added support of an extra behaviour support colleague.	£104,000

Barrier	Barriers to future attainment and progress (for pupils eligible for PP)				
In-scho	ool barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral language skills)				
A.	Poor oral, reading and written language skills.				
B.	Poor learning behaviour				
C.	Poor and unhealthy nutrition				
D.	Pupil stamina and resilience				

E.	Lack of wider world experience							
Exte	External barriers (issues which also require action outside school, such as low attendance rates)							
F.	Constant need for family support, including counselling and therapy.							
G.	Low attendance rates							
H.	H. Parents financially unable to contribute to school trips and journeys.							
Desi	Desired outcomes and how they will be measured	Success criteria						
Α	To improve children's oral language skills and to embed this into	If children's verbal language has improved						
	their wider curricular learning.	 Increased amount of children at ARE in their data drops Better progress seen in all aspects of English 						
В	For children to have an improved outlook on behaviour and to have more respect for themselves and others.	 Better manners seen across the academy More respect seen for the children and for adults Less incidences reported on CPOMS 						
С	For all children to be fed across the academy in the mornings.	 Better behaviour across the academy Children eating a healthy breakfast at school and having better concentration levels. 						

D	For children to be determined, to complete tasks and to produce work to	To see improved progress and attainment.
E	the best of their ability. For children to have a better understanding of the world around them. To understand different cultures and accept all people are different.	 To introduce multi-cultural week to the academy. To teach children that everyone is different and for children to become more accepting of the fact that everyone is different
F	For children to have access to a Family Support team and to be able to take part in therapy and counselling sessions.	 Children will feel listened to about their home/personal life Children will be able to take part in therapy such as art and play. For children to feel supported with their problems
G	To reach higher attendance rates.	 For students and parents alone you see the benefit in coming to school every day. For lateness and PA to decrease.
Н	For all children across the academy to go on all trips.	Children to have a better understanding of the world around them

A small but growing number of schools are using their funding for disadvantaged pupils to offset budget cuts elsewhere, according to polling. The survey of 1,607 teachers, conducted by the National Foundation for Educational Research as part of their Teachers' Voice Omnibus survey, found that one in five didn't know what the main priorities for their pupil premium funding was. The most common priority for spending, identified by over a quarter of teachers (28%), was on early intervention schemes. 13% said that more 1:1 tuition was a priority and 10% said teaching assistants. However, virtually all of the senior leaders surveyed believe that the pupil premium has allowed them to target resources at raising the attainment of their poorest pupils. 98% of primary and secondary leaders and four out of five classroom teachers (79%) agreed with this statement to either a great extent, to some extent or to a little extent. https://www.sutton-trust-and-education-endowment-foundation-polling/

Sutton Trust: Academies are not helping disadvantaged children enough: The think-tank examined the performance of disadvantaged students – those entitled to the pupil premium – in sponsored academies in 39 chains from 2013-2015. The report, *Chain Effects 2016*, it found that 8 out of 39 schools were substantially underperforming compared to the national average for disadvantaged pupils.

http://www.publicfinance.co.uk/news/2016/07/sutton-trust-academies-are-not-helping-disadvantaged-children-enough

http://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk_provide a teaching and learning tool kit that maps out impact vs spend, this document supports the forecasted use of funding within this document.

As such the following strategy outlines precise spending to ensure pupil premium funding is balanced and meets the needs of all pupil premium children within the academy, whilst complimenting and enriching the existing curriculum.

2019-2020 Strategy

Planne	ed expenditure						
	2019-2020						
	ree headings below enable schools to dem ipport whole school strategies	onstrate how they are using the Pup	l Premium to improve classroom	pedagogy, provide ta	rgeted support		
i. Q	uality of Education for all						
Desi red	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	Review Date		
A, B, C, E	 LSA to support primarily PP children in every classre Behaviour Support Manager employed- (app) Subsidised school visits and journey- (app) 	We underachieve the national star We have 62% PP	ordards To monitor and review Staff and student surveys	P, VP	July 2020		
Total budgeted cost							
ii. Ta	argeted support						
Desi	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and	How will you ensure it is	Staff lead	Review Date		
red		rationale for this choice?	implemented well?				
F, G, H	 Family support and counselling support staff emplo Attendance Support Company employed- (app) Attendance Manager employed- (app) 	Our attendance is way below national and AT expectations, noticed and addressed by Ofste		P, VP	July 2020		
			1	Total budgeted cost	£45,000		
iii. Other approaches							
Desired outcom e (A-H above)	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	Review Date		

				Total budgeted	£334,000			
Additional Information:								